

MASTER THESIS PRESENTATION

Fatigue and fracture assessment of butt welds

Supervisors:

Reviewer: Student: Prof. Maciej Taczała (ZUT) Dr. Hubertus von Selle (GL) Prof. Patrick Kaeding (URO) Georgios Moraitis

La Spezia, February2014

CONTENTS



- Introduction: Motivation & Objective Basic Principles
- Part I: Evaluation of Fatigue Tests of Butt Welds
- Part II: Investigation of Parameters C & m of Paris Equation
- **Part III**: Notch Stress and Fracture Mechanics Investigation of Butt Welds
- Conclusions
- Recommendations

INTRODUCTION Motivation & Objective



- Trend: Increasing size of vessels
- **Result**: Extensive use of high tensile strength steel with plates of great thicknesses and welded joints
- Example: Coaming and top plate of large container ships
- **Objective:** Investigation of fatigue behavior of butt welds made of high tensile steel YP47 (460 N/mm²)



INTRODUCTION Basic Principles – Fatigue



- Occurs as a result of cumulative effect due to cycling fluctuating loading
- Important structural parameter for ships
- 3 Stages: 1)Crack initiation 2)Propagation 3)Failure
- Initiates at a stress concentration point

INTRODUCTION Basic Principles – Butt Welds



- Assembly process obtained by material fusion
- Fatigue crack initiates at the weld toe (less often at root)
- Propagates through the thickness, perpendicular to load direction



Objectives: Investigate the thickness effect and fatigue performance of YP47



Part I: Evaluation of Fatigue Tests EMShip

Thickness Effect: Decrease of fatigue strength of welded joint or component with the increasing of plate thickness

$$f_t = \left(\frac{t_{ref}}{t_{eff}}\right)^n$$

Where:

t_{ref}: reference plate thickness (usually 25mm)

t_{eff}: effective plate thickness

n: exponent of the thickness influence law (0.2 or 0.17)

Part I: Evaluation of Fatigue Tests EMship





Part II: Investigation of Parameters ENSING C & m of Paris Equation

Fracture Mechanics:

- Propagation from initial (a_{in}) to final (a_f) crack length
- Stress Intensity Factor range (ΔK): $\Delta K = \Delta K_{max} \Delta K_{min} = Y \Delta \sigma \sqrt{\pi \alpha}$ where Y is a correction function depending on geometry
- Paris crack growth equation:

$$da/dn = C(\Delta K)^m$$



Part II: Investigation of Parameters C & m of Paris Equation

- Based on experimental results of fatigue tests carried out by GL
- Butt welds specimens (t=80mm, higher tensile steel YP40)
- Creation of beachmarks on the fatigue crack surfaces
- Numerical calculations performed by software VERB





Part II: Investigation of Parameters EMS C & m of Paris Equation

 \succ For each specimen it is known from the tests:

- The stress range ($\Delta \sigma$ in N/mm²)
- The number of cycles (N) between each beachmark
- The dimensions of the beachmark = dimensions of the crack while it propagates
- ➢ For specific value of parameter m (3.5 − 3 − 2.5), VERB calculates value of parameter C of Paris equation
- ➢ Repeated for all the specimens, the average value and the upper limit of the obtained results for parameter C is calculated and compared with the one suggested from International Institute of Welding (IIW).

13

Part II: Investigation of Parameters ENSING C & m of Paris Equation

Results:

	C_allbest_fit (for m=2,5)	C_allbest_fit (for m=3)
A.V:	2.78E-08	3.84E-09
upper limit:	3.66E-08	5.65E-09

Recommended value (from IIW):

m=3.00, C=1.65E-08

Conclusion:

Obtained value smaller than the recommended, leading to longer lifetimes. IIW recommendations proved to be very conservative.

Part III: Notch Stress and Fracture Mechanics Investigation of Butt Welds



Notch Stress Approach

Butt welds (t=25, 50 and 80mm) of various weld geometries:

- Group a: weld shapes directly and randomly taken from actual specimens from GL tests of Part I
- Group b: Notch of weld raises proportionally to thickness
- Group c: Exact same weld geometry for all specimens
- Group d: Undercuts of radius r=1mm



Part III: Notch Stress Approach















RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK



- Further consideration of misalignments for the evaluation of test results
- Further investigation of parameter C since the obtained result shows a significant difference to the recommended one
- Studying of the impact of residual stresses in SIF calculation
- Application of more advanced formulas than Paris equation (e.g. Bilinear law, NASGRO etc)
- Similar investigation for components made of different material (e.g. different steel alloys, titanium alloys)
- Similar investigation for different weld types (e.g. cruciform fillet welded joints etc)